I. (a) PLAINTIFFS

# -cv-0042 IVIL COVER SHEETE 02/01/18 Page 18-CV-428 tained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and

**DEFENDANTS** 

operations on the papers as required by law, except as a project by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the Law STRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.) The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the provided by local rules of court. purpose of initiating the civil docl

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS	5		ଣ 🔘	A O	Q	1
GLENN LIOU F			DEFENDANTS DIAMOND CONSULTING GROUP 8							
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Bronx (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIEF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Philadelphia  (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASE ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.						
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A Kevin I. Lovitz Lovitz Law Firm, P.C. 1650 Market Street, 36th	•			Attorneys (If Known,	)					
II. BASIS OF JURISD	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF I		CIPA	L PARTIES			
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)				DEF	Incorporated or Pr of Business In T		or Defende PTF 4	DEF
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citiz	en of Another State	<b>J</b> 2	<b>o</b> 2	Incorporated and I of Business In		<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>
				en or Subject of a Creign Country	3	<b></b> 3	Foreign Nation		<b>1</b> 6	□ 6 ———
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		nly)		Dinamentang Manapa			here for: Nature			
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	PERSONAL INJURY  310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury Medical Malpractice  CIVIL RIGHTS 41 Voting 42 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Cher 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	Other:    540 Mandamus & Oth   550 Civil Rights   555 Prison Condition   560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of	0 69 RTY 0 71 0 72 0 74	65 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 00 Other 10 Other 10 Other 10 Other 10 Other 10 Capture of Property 21 USC 881 10 Other Labor Management Relations 10 Railway Labor Act 11 Family and Medical Leave Act 10 Other Labor Litigation 11 Employee Retirement Income Security Act 11 NAMERA 11 OTHER 12 Naturalization Application 12 Other Immigration Actions 12 Other Immigration Actions 13 Other Immigration Actions 14 USC 18 OTHER 12 OTHER	42	23 With 28 U 20 Copy 30 Pater 35 Pater New 40 Trade 31 HIA 52 Black 33 DIW 54 SSID 55 RSI (	rrights at Abbreviated Drug Application	430 Banks a   450 Comme   460 Deporta   470 Rackete Corrupt   480 Consum   490 Cable/S   850 Securiti   Exchan   890 Other S   891 Agricul   893 Environ   895 Freedon   Act   896 Arbitrat   899 Admini   Act/Rev	m (31 USC )) eapportion st and Bankin ree stion orer Influen Organizat ner Credit at TV es/Commo ge tatutory A tural Acts mental Ma n of Inform ion strative Proview or Ap Decision utionality of	ment  ng  ced and tions  odities/ ctions  atters mation
	moved from 3	Confinement  Remanded from Appellate Court	J 4 Rein Reop	ened Anoth	ferred f		6 Multidistr	ı <b>-</b>	Multidis Litigatio	o <b>n -</b>
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	THE 20 LLC C SE		re filing (I	(speci <u>f</u> Do not cite jurisdictional st		nless di	Transfer versity):	-	Direct I'	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	N D	EMAND \$			HECK YES only URY DEMAND:	/\	complai	
VIII. RELATED CASI	(See instructions):	JUDGE SIGNATURE OF ATT	DOBNEY	DE RECOPD	D	OCKE	T NUMBER	JEB .	-12	<u>2018</u>
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		SIGNATURE OF AT	FORNET (	ALCOND						
RECEIPT # AN	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP_		JUDGE_			MAG. JUE	OGE		
		//								

se 2:18-cv-00428-CFK Document 1 Filed 02/01/18 Page 2 of 9 APPENDIX A FOR THE EXPLICIT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of an injurient to appropriate calendar. Address of Paintiff: 1545 Crosby Avenue, Apt.#610022, Bronx, New York, 10461

Address of Defendant: 1080 N. Delaware Avenue, Suite 600, Phila	adelphia, PA 19125
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:	e Reverse Side For Additional Space)
(Use	e Reverse Side For Additional Space)
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?  RELATED CASE, IF ANY:	Yes□ No☑
Case Number: Judge	Date Terminated:
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following que	estions:
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending of	or within one year previously terminated action in this court?  Yes□ No□
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transa action in this court?	
	Yes□ No⊡
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit of terminated action in this court?	or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously
terminated action in this count?	Yes□ No☑
CIVIL: (Place  ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)	
A. Federal Question Cases	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts
2.   FELA	2. Airplane Personal Injury
3.	3. Assault, Defamation
4. Antitrust	4.   Marine Personal Injury
5. Patent	5.
6.  Labor-Management Relations	6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify)
7. Civil Rights	7. Products Liability
8.  Habeas Corpus	8. Products Liability — Asbestos
9.	9. All other Diversity Cases
10 Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)
All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) Title 28 U.S.C. §626(b)	
ARBITRATION CEI	
Levin I. Lovitz, Esquire , counsel of record	
	w knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case
Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	/ 70184
Aftorney-a	
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if t	there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now except as noted above.	pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court
DATE: //3///8	/ / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /
CIV. 609 (989) Attornéy at	-Law Attorney I.D.#

## Case 2:18-cv-00428-CFK Document 1 Filed 02/01/18 Page 3 of 9

#### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT APPENDIX C FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

#### CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM 1 8 428

GLENN LIOU	:	CIVIL ACTION
v.	:	
DIAMOND CONSULTING GROUP	: :	NO.

In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for plaintiff shall complete a case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse side of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a case management track designation form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.

SELECT ONE O	F THE I	FOLLOWING CASE MANAGEMENT TRACKS:	
	(a)	Habeas Corpus Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. §2241 through §2255.	
	(b)	Social Security Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.	
	(c)	Arbitration Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	
	(d)	Asbestos Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos.	
	(e)	Special Management Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases.)	
// 3/ /1 8 Date	(f)	Standard Management Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks.  Attorney-at-law	
Civ. 660) 7/95		Kevin I. Lovitz, Esquire  Attorney for GLEI	FEB - 1 2018
CIT. 030) 1173			

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT PENNSYLVANIA

GLENN LIOU,

**Plaintiff** 

**CIVIL ACTION NO:** 

٧.

DIAMOND CONSULTING GROUP, Defendant

#### **COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND**

#### I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

Plaintiff claims of Defendant a sum in excess of \$150,000.00 in damages upon a cause of action whereof the following is a statement:

- 1. This action for declaratory, injunctive, monetary and other appropriate relief is brought by Plaintiff to redress violations by Defendant of rights secured to the Plaintiff by the laws of the United States of America.
- 2. The original jurisdiction of this Court is invoked pursuant to Title 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1337, and the claim is substantively based on the Age Discrimination in Employment Act ("ADEA"), Title 28 U.S.C. §626(b). This action is brought by Plaintiff to redress arbitrary, improper, unlawful, willful, deliberate and intentional discrimination on the basis of his age. Plaintiff also asserts a claim for age discrimination arising under the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act ("PHRA"), 43 P.S. §951, et seq.

#### II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

3. The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked pursuant to ADEA, which provides for original jurisdiction of Plaintiff's claims arising under the laws of the United States

and over actions to recover damages and to secure equitable and other relief under the appropriate governing statutes.

- 4. The venue of this Court is invoked pursuant to the dictates of Title 28 U.S.C. §1391(c).
- The supplemental jurisdiction of this Court is invoked pursuant Title 28
   U.S.C. §1367 to consider Plaintiff's claims arising under the PHRA.
- 6. All conditions precedent to the institution of this suit have been fulfilled. A notice of Right to Sue was issued by the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC") on December 11, 2017. This action has been commenced within ninety (90) days of the issuance of said Notice. Plaintiff has exhausted all other jurisdictional prerequisites to the maintenance of this action.

#### III. PARTIES

- 7. Plaintiff, Glenn Liou, is an individual and citizen of the State of New York who resides at 1545 Crosby Avenue, Apartment #610022, Bronx, New York.
- 8. Defendant, Diamond Consulting Group, was and is now a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, with a place of business located at 1080 N. Delaware Avenue, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.
- 9. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant was acting through its agents, servants and employees, who were authorized and acting within the scope of its authority, course of employment, and under the direct control of Defendant.
- 10. At all times material herein, Defendant has been a "person" and "employer" as defined under ADEA and PHRA, and is subject to the provisions of said acts.

#### IV. STATEMENT OF CLAIMS

- 11. Plaintiff, who was fifty-five (55) years of age at the time, applied for an Event Marketing position posted by Defendant on or about January 1, 2016. Plaintiff received confirmation of his application for employment.
- 12. Defendant's job posting indicated in part that Defendant was seeking: "IMMEDIATE HIRE FOR YOUNG AND HUNGRY PROFESSIONALS."
- 13. Plaintiff believes and avers that he was sufficiently qualified for said position in that he has the requisite skills and abilities to perform the job functions posted by Defendant.
- 14. Notwithstanding his qualifications, Plaintiff was neither interviewed nor selected for the position posted by Defendant.
- 15. Subsequent to being rejected from said employment opportunity, Plaintiff filed a Charge of Discrimination with the United States Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC") in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.
- 16. On October 17, 2017, the EEOC found that probable cause existed to credit Plaintiff's claim that he was not hired by Defendant due to his age.
- 17. According to the EEOC, "The language used in the [Defendant's] job posting discriminates against persons in the protected age group. The ADEA prohibits discrimination related to job decisions, employment practices, or other terms, conditions, or privileges of employment based on an individual's protected status."
- 18. The EEOC further concluded that the law also "...prohibits discrimination based on age in advertisements and recruitment related to employment" ...and that

"advertisements may not contain terms or phrases that would deter members of a particular class from applying."

- 19. Based on its investigation of Plaintiff's claims, the EEOC concluded that the evidence as a whole indicates that age was a proximate cause for Plaintiff's denial of hire.
- 20. Plaintiff believes and therefore avers, that he was denied hire due to his age, as aforesaid.

### COUNT I (ADEA – Age Discrimination) Plaintiff v. Defendant

- 21. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 20 of this Complaint as fully set forth at length herein.
- 22. The actions of Defendant through its agents, servants and employees in subjecting Plaintiff age-based discrimination by failing to hire him due to his age, constituted a violation of the ADEA.
- 23. The unlawful discriminatory employment practices engaged in by Defendant were in violation of the provisions of Title 28 U.S.C. §626(a)(1) and 626(a)(2) of the ADEA.
- 24. As a direct result of the aforesaid unlawful discriminatory employment practices engaged in by Defendant in violation of 28 U.S.C. §626(a) and (1) and 626(a)(2) of the ADEA, Plaintiff sustained permanent and irreparable harm resulting in the loss of employment, which caused him to sustain a loss of earnings, plus the value

of his benefits, plus loss of future earning power, plus back pay, and front pay and interest due thereon.

### COUNT III (PHRA – Age Discrimination) Plaintiff v. Defendant

- 25. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 24 of this Complaint as if fully set forth at length herein.
- 26. The actions of the Defendant, through its agents, servants and employees, in subjecting Plaintiff to age-based discrimination by failing to hire him solely because of his age as aforesaid, constituted a violation of the PHRA.
- 27. Defendant's acts of discrimination as aforesaid were intentional, willful and in reckless disregard of Plaintiff's rights and interests.
- 28. As a direct result of Defendant's willful and unlawful actions in violation of the PHRA the Plaintiff has suffered emotional distress, humiliation, embarrassment, loss of self-esteem and has sustained a loss of earnings, plus the value of the aforementioned benefits, plus loss of future earning power, plus loss of back pay and front pay and interest due thereon.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

29. Plaintiff repeats the allegations of paragraph 1 through 28 of thisComplaint as if set forth herein at length.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests this Court to enter judgment in his favor and against Defendant and order that:

- (a) Defendant compensate Plaintiff for the wages and other benefits and emoluments of employment lost, because of their unlawful conduct;
- (b) Defendant pay to Plaintiff compensatory damages for future pecuniary losses, pain, suffering, inconvenience, mental anguish, loss of employment and other non-pecuniary losses as allowable;
- (c) Defendant pay to Plaintiff liquidated damages, pre and post judgment interest, costs of suit and attorney and expert witness fees as allowed by law;
  - (d) The Court award such other relief as is deemed just and proper.

#### **JURY DEMAND**

Plaintiff demands trial by jury.

THE LOVITZ LAW FIRM, P.C.

By:

KEVIN I/LOVITZ, ESQUIRE ID # 70/184 1650 Market Street, 36<sup>th</sup> FI. Philadelphia, PA 19103 (215) 735-1996 Phone (367)319-7943 Attorney for Plaintiff, Glenn Liou